



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/683,715	10/10/2003	David S. Benco	LUC-429/Benco 2046 42-31-31-36		
32205	590 07/21/2005		EXAMINER		
PATTI & BRILL			D AGOSTA, STEPHEN M		
ONE NORTH	LASALLE STREET				
44TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, II	. 60602		2683	"	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/21/2006	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No).	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/683,715		BENCO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Stephen M. D'A	gosta 2	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by serely received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, hown. n. a reply within the statutory meriod will apply and will expirestatute, cause the application	vever, may a reply be timely inimum of thirty (30) days w SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED	y filed vill be considered timely e mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 October 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	/are: a) accepted the drawing(s) be held rrection is required if the	d in abeyance. See 3 ne drawing(s) is objec	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948))	Interview Summary (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/05</u> .		Notice of Informal Pate Other:	ent Application (PTO	-152)			

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because there should be no title on the page. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims **so they are no longer coextensive in scope.** The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-5 provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-14 of copending Application No. 09-815854. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 2683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

<u>Claims 1-5</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Snellman WO98/23108.

As per **claims 1 and 3**, Snellman teaches a method for automatically updating a non-emergency telephone number stored in a wireless handset (abstract teaches stored phone numbers in a mobile device and page 2, 2nd paragraph teaches supporting both emergency and non-emergency numbers, eg. "help, guidance and so on". Page 10, last paragraph to page 11 discusses guidance as a person needing directions to a certain address which is a non-emergency situation) comprising the steps of:

determining a previous non-emergency telephone number corresponding with a prior location of the wireless handset AND storing the previous non-emergency telephone number in a record associated with the wireless handset in a network database (page 3, bottom paragraph, lines 3-4 state "predetermined telephone numbers of various local operators and/or local services are stored into memory of the mobile phone");

determining a current location of the wireless handset (page 7, bottom paragraph teaches the mobile networks keeping track of the mobiles location via HLR/VLR systems);

determining a first non-emergency telephone number corresponding to the current location where the first non-emergency telephone number is to be used by wireless handsets at the current location to seek non-emergency assistance AND comparing the first non-emergency telephone number and the previous non-emergency telephone number is different than the previous non-emergency telephone number, transmitting a message

Application/Control Number: 10/683,715

Art Unit: 2683

containing the first non-emergency telephone number to the wireless handset where the message is a command for the wireless handset to store in its memory the first non-emergency instead of the previous non-emergency telephone number for access by a user of the wireless handset (page 7, 1st four paragraphs discuss a user dialing a phone number and it being changed to a different phone number depending upon the user's location, eg. if in Finland, a "911" call will be changed to a "112" call, and vice versa.

Note that page 7, 4th paragraph states that the phone number can be changed/updated in memory as well, which reads on the claim – also see page 8, 2nd and 4th paragraphs as well as page 10, 2nd paragraph and claim 9, page 13).

As per claim 2, Snellman teaches claim 1 wherein the step of determining the corresponding first non-emergency telephone number comprises accessing a database based on the current location of the wireless handset where the database stores non-emergency telephone numbers for different locations (page 10, claim 9 teaches updating the mobile device memory with telephone number information based on the user's new location whereby the update signal/data is transmitted from the network, eg. a database).

As per **claim 4,** Snellman teaches claim 3 further comprising the steps of storing in a network database the prior location in a record associated with the wireless handset, and comparing the prior location with the current location (page 7, bottom paragraph teaches keeping track of prior/current location of a mobile via HLR and VLR, as is well known in the art).

As per **claim 5**, Snellman teaches claim 3 further comprising the steps of determining whether the current non-emergency telephone number corresponding to the current location is different from the prior non-emergency telephone number corresponding to the prior location, and transmitting said message only if the current non-emergency telephone number differs from the prior non-emergency telephone number handset (page 7, 1st four paragraphs discuss a user dialing a phone number

Application/Control Number: 10/683,715 Page 5

Art Unit: 2683

and it being changed to a different phone number depending upon the user's location, eg. if in Finland, a "911" call will be changed to a "112" call, and vice versa. **Note** that page 7, 4th paragraph states that the phone number can be changed/updated in memory as well, which reads on the claim – also see page 8, 2nd and 4th paragraphs as well as page 10, 2nd paragraph and claim 9, page 13).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 1. Armbruster et al. US 6,070,065
- 2. Chang et al. US 6,463,270
- 3. Arami et al. US 5,920,610
- 4. Griffith et al. US 6,195,558
- 5. Sommerer US 6,701,348
- 6. Miura US 6,643,363
- 7. Weiner US 2004.0204117
- 8. Rodriguez et al. US 2002/0068599
- 9. Shaffer US 6,351,636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. D'Agosta whose telephone number is 571-272-7862. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen D'Agosta Primary Examiner 6-20-2005